

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

_					
	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
	09/478,578	01/06/2000	SIMON J. BROADLEY	995-P-3	1871
		590 08/28/2002			
TODD R NISSLE P C			EXAMINER		
	P O BOX 55630 PHOENIX, AZ 85078			NGUYEN, KHANH V	
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2817	
				DATE MAILED: 08/28/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

ah

#### Application No. Applicant(s) 09/478,578 BROADLEY, SIMON J. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit Khanh V. Nguyen 2817 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	<ul> <li>If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.</li> <li>If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).</li> <li>Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any</li> <li>Status</li> </ul>					
	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 June 2002.					
	2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.					
	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims					
	4) Claim(s) $7-9$ is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>7-9</u> is/are rejected.					
	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
	Application Papers					
	9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
	10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a)					
	11)∐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)∏ approved b)∏ disapproved by the Examiner.					
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
	12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
	13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
	a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
	14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application)					
	a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.					
	15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.					
	Attachment(s)					
2	Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).  Other:					

1) 2)

Application/Control Number: 09/478,578

Art Unit: 2817

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 4-6 or 7-9 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 7-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nguyen et al. (5,949,282).

Nguyen et al. (Fig. 2a) disclose the claimed invention except utilizing a detector for receiving PWM waveform control signal. Nguyen et al. disclose an AUDIO\_IN inputs to error amplifier circuit (207), a PWM (101) receives a signal ADJ\_OUT and transforms into a digital signal DIG\_OUT, and DIG\_OUT is fed into output stage (102) which inherently seen comprising FET transistor switches corresponding to HI\_OUT and LO\_OUT, and filters (103, 104) for removing switching noise FROM OUTPUT STAGE (102) and providing an averaged output to drive a speaker. Since PWM (101) of Nguyen et al. and a detector (15) of claimed invention are functionally equivalent as (see page 5, lines 6-8 of applicant's invention), thus, utilizing one of these devices (PWM/detector)

Art Unit: 2817

would have been an obvious matter of design choice in the absence of unexpected result. Also, both Nguyen et al. and applicant's invention are structurally similar, thus, the overall circuit operation would be inherent.

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Khanh V. Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 306-9058. The examiner can normally be reached from 8:00 AM-5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Pascal can be reached on (703) 308-4909. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9318 for regular communications and (703) 872-9319 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Customer Service at (703) 872-9317.

 $\mathcal{NKV}$ 

08/23/02

Nguyen, Khanh Van

Group 2800, Art Unit 2817